1	INCORE	PORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE
2		BOARD OF APPEALS
3		Village Hall
4		196 Central Avenue Lawrence, New York
5		August 24, 2011
6	·	7:40 p.m.
7		
8	APPLICATION:	Fistel 100 Cumberland Place
9		Lawrence, New York
10		
11	PRESENT:	
12		MR. LLOYD KEILSON Chairman
13		MR. ELLIOT FEIT
14		Member
15		MR. EDWARD GOTTLIEB Member
16		MR. THOMAS V. PANTELIS, ESQ.
17		Village Attorney
18		MR. MICHAEL RYDER Building Department
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		Mary Benci, RPR
25	•	Court Reporter

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: The matter of Fistel, would they or their representative please step forward.

1.8

2.2

MR. FISTEL: David Fistel, my address is 100 Cumberland Place in Lawrence. And I'm requesting three variances tonight.

One is -- I'm trying to put on a 30-foot by 29-foot-9-inch addition in the rear of the house. And in order to do that I'll only be 25 -- 25 feet three inches from the -- as a rear-yard setback instead of the required 30. And my house currently is only nine foot -- nine feet from the property line of my next-door neighbor, instead of the required ten feet. And I would like to have the addition continue in a straight line rather than having to build in one foot.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: On the same nonconforming line?

MR. FISTEL: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Just further down.

MR. FISTEL: Right. And then the third variance is side-yard aggregate which normally needs to be 25 feet, and we're asking that at the shortest point, I believe it's -- I wrote on the petition I believe it's nineteen and change.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Nineteen nine.

MR. FISTEL: Yeah, nineteen and three-quarters at the shortest point, and then it slopes greater, you know, you have more room as

you go further back, till I think in the way back

it's probably just about 25 feet.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Tell us a little bit about what you're adding in terms of the bedrooms and the like and the need.

MR. FISTEL: Right now we have -- we have, you know, a starter house, a three-bedroom house with a den and a playroom. And we've been forced to turn the den and the playroom into bedrooms. We have -- you know, we've had two kids since we've been in the house, which has been three years, and we anticipate more. And we need a den and a playroom for those kids, but at the same time we need a place for those kids to sleep.

Also, my wife has ten siblings who each have a lot of kids and they live in Florida most of them and --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: I don't think you have enough bedrooms for all of them.

MR. FISTEL: Yeah, I know, not if they all come at the same time. But I'm working on that.

2.0

But even if one of them comes with their family, you know, we need at least one guest room and then we could probably pile some of their kids with our kids. So I feel like we need -- like we're going to need seven bedrooms.

And this plan really calls for six bedrooms and a playroom off of the den. But the playroom will have sliding doors where it can be used as a bedroom, you know, when it's necessary. And I want to remind -- I guess you guys all know the property, but it's on the -- it's on Cumberland Place, right, and my neighbor in the back is the Long Island Rail Road tracks.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Right.

MR. FISTEL: It's not even --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Do they keep you up at night? No more than the babies.

MR. FISTEL: Not more than the babies, no, definitely not.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay.

MR. PANTELIS: In addition to your relationship to the railroad, can you continue to describe the other surroundings adjacent. I think that's important in this particular case.

MR. FISTEL: Yes, right. So that's the back.

So and we're not -- we're not in front of a station. We're between the Inwood station and the Lawrence station, but we're very close to the opposite end of the Inwood platform and there is -- there's Lord Avenue. You guys may recall that it used to go through, but now they've cut it off and there's a little -- a little cul de sac with a rotary that's directly on the east side of the property where we're asking to build out five extra feet. Although it's not extra for that side but it's extra in the aggregate.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Understood.

MR. FISTEL: So basically, we don't have any neighbors.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who's the neighbor on the right? I think that's the most we're concerned with.

MR. FISTEL: So that was -- well, it was actually the Heslins until last -- until last Wednesday, and a new family just moved in.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: They're moving because of your construction?

MR. FISTEL: Yes, brought them to the neighborhood. So they're all for it; without it they're moving out. No, but they are aware of

what we're doing. They've seen the same documents that you're looking at.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Who's moving in?

MEMBER FEIT: Who moved in?

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Moved in, sorry.

MR. FISTEL: Kapelowitz. They didn't have official notice because I gave in the radius maps before they moved in, and I didn't know who they were. And the Heslins were keeping it secretive; they were paranoid they wouldn't be able to close. But so I sent it to them but --

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Understood.

MR. FISTEL: -- but -- but when they moved in, you know, we've seen each other and we're friendly. And we showed them our house in case they were wondering how to lay it out, and we showed them our plans and we mentioned what we're doing.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: So they can come here and ask for the same.

MR. FISTEL: If they want to.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: At some later date.

MR. FISTEL: I don't think they have the money to do that right now; they just got here.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Mr. Fistel, is there any

~ *4*

way you could have designed the addition being four feet nine inches shorter, such that you could maintain the 30-foot rear yard?

MR. FISTEL: So that's how we -- originally, we weren't sure if we were going to ask for that variance. The problem is that, you know, the existing house -- in other words, really to -- like you can't have a bedroom that spans from -- you can't have a room really that spans from the current house into the addition on the -- on that side, on the left side of the house, because then the room would be oddly shaped and it would be kind of --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I understand, sure.

MR. FISTEL: So we started there and you can see there's a schematic and we found that in order to -- in other words, all the bedrooms basically had to -- I mean, even bedroom three was difficult to -- you know, we didn't want it to be in the living room and in the dining room.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Bedroom three is on the upper level?

MR. FISTEL: That's on the upper level.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Got it, okay.

MR. FISTEL: Yeah, the upper level was the

24

25

most challenging because if you have the master bedroom on the upper level and you can't have higher ceilings or anything on the lower level, and the living room and dining room belong on the upper level, so and then in order to do that if we have two infants at a time so we can't put them on the lower level. So we squeezed in bedroom three on the upper level instead of putting it on the bottom because we -- because they'll wake each other up if they're in the same room. So I felt we needed a little bedroom, that bedroom three over there; and once you have that you need a bathroom that services those two bedrooms. wife has a lot of clothes, a lot of clothes. the walk-in closet is important.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Is that a political statement for this evening?

MR. FISTEL: And basically, my office, I'm an accountant, and my office is as it is, four feet wide by ten two.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Just so I can find your office.

MR. FISTEL: It's all the way up in the corner. So it's not exactly -- you know, I would love for it to be five or six feet so I could

actually fit a chair inside, but you know, as it is we're trying to -- we're trying to --

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: Are you planning on putting a deck or swimming pool in the back or other structure?

MR. FISTEL: No.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: It would just be a grassy area.

MR. FISTEL: Yes. We don't want to lose the whole back. A swing set might go into the back at some point.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: That's not what I meant, but okay.

MEMBER FEIT: Is there a typo on this code relief? You said existing is eighteen eight and proposed is nineteen.

MR. FISTEL: That's correct. I mean, I think that's correct.

MEMBER FEIT: Aggregate side-yard setback.

MR. FISTEL: Yeah, because in the front, like I said, it's sloped, you know, since we're the corner lot. So our property slopes sort of like this (indicating), and it gets wider as you go back. So all the way in the front the existing structure is actually only eighteen eight

aggregate side yard in the front where the garage is, but as you go back it gets more. But then when we build the addition we're building it out five feet more but we're already -- we're already seven feet or six or seven feet more width than we had at the outset, so that's what I meant by that. Maybe I wrote it not correctly.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: That's fine.

Is there anyone in the audience that wanted to speak to the matter?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Gentlemen, any further questions?

MR. FISTEL: My wife is for. She just doesn't want to speak. Probably doesn't want to wake the baby.

CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Okay, so in reviewing the five criteria in terms of area variances, weighing the benefit of the variance to the applicant as opposed to the concern for the neighborhood.

And I think we'll vote at this point. Mr. Gottlieb.

MEMBER GOTTLIEB: I will vote for this, and bearing in mind that there is no area -- rather, surface coverage or building variance that is

required. I want to mention that in particular. 1 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Mr. Feit. 2 MEMBER FEIT: Considering that his backyard 3 neighbor is the Long Island Rail Road, I vote 4 for. 5 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: And I'll vote for as well. 6 MR. FISTEL: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: Indicate how much time you 8 need. Two years, take two years. 9 MR. FISTEL: Two years, that's what I was 10 thinking. 11 CHAIRMAN KEILSON: So you don't have to come 12 back and ask for an extension. 13 MEMBER FEIT: You have to go to Building 14 Design. It's your first time here. Mr. Ryder 15 16 will fill you in. 17 MR. FISTEL: I was hoping for ten years, but 18 two years we should be able to finish. (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 19 2.0 7:50 p.m.21 22 23 24

25

Certified that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the original stenographic minutes in this case.

MARY BENCI, RPR Court Reporter